

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: May et al.

ATTY. DOCKET NO.: 112701-029

SERIAL NO.:

09/230.623

GROUP ART UNIT:

1761

FILING DATE:

June 14, 1999

EXAMINER:

S. Weinstein

TITLE:

"MULTI-LAYERED CANNED PET FOOD"

Assistant Commissioner of Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE

SIR:

Please enter the following Response in the above-identified patent application:

REMARKS

This Response is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed on April 3, 2001.

The Office Action rejects Claims 1-10 under 35 U.S.C. §103 in view of a combination of a number of references. Applicants respectfully submit, for the reasons set forth below, that the rejection is not proper and therefore should be withdrawn.

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Ohba in view of alleged Applicants' admissions, Poppel et al. and further in view of Quaker Oats, Hillebrand, McMahon, QP Corp (Jap '174), QP Corp ('677), Errass, Henkel, and further in view of Waldburger ('254), McGonigle ('174), Cease ('537), Billey ('086), Stover ('245) and Rogers, et al. ('094). Accordingly, the claims now stand rejected as being obvious in view of sixteen (16) references! Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection is not proper either as a matter of law or fact.

The claimed invention provides in Claims 1-9, a canned pet food and in Claim 10 a process for producing a canned pet food. More specifically, independent Claim 1 claims a base